Mathematical Foundations of Machine Learning Exam of Part I 2023-2024

Massih-Reza Amini Duration: 2 hours 1/2, authorised documents: Slides of the course

Different learning algorithms for binary classification have been proposed for the minimization of the following learning objective over the class of linear functions, $\mathcal{H} = \{h : \mathbf{x} \mapsto \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x} \rangle\}:$

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_m(S, \mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{(\mathbf{x}, y) \in S} \ell(h(\mathbf{x}), y) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2,$$
(1)

where $S = (\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)_{1 \le i \le m}$ is a training set of size $m, \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ a vector representation of an observation, $y \in \{-1, +1\}$ its associated class label, and ℓ an instantanious loss (called the hing loss) defined as :

$$\ell(h(\mathbf{x}), y) = \max(0, 1 - yh(\mathbf{x})).$$
⁽²⁾

In the following we will analysis the algorithm called PEGASOS (*Primal Estimated sub-Gradient Solver for SVM*)¹ which procedure is summarized below.

Algorithm 1 Pegasos

Input: Training set S = (x_i, y_i)_{1≤i≤m}, constant λ > 0 and maximum number of iterations T
 Initialize: Set w⁽¹⁾ ← 0
 for t = 1, 2, ..., T do
 Set S⁺_t = {(x, y) ∈ S; y⟨w^(t), x⟩ < 1}
 Set η_t = ¹/_{λ×t}
 Update w^(t+1) ← (1 − λη_t)w^(t) + ^{n_t}/_m ∑_{(x,y)∈S⁺_t} yx
 end for
 Output: w^(T+1)

¹S. Shalev-Shwartz, Y. Singer, N. Srebro and A. Cotter. Primal Estimated sub-Gradient SOlver for SVM (Pegasos) *Mathematical Programming* March 2011, Volume 127, Issue 1, pp 330

Begining from a null weight vector, the algorithm iteratively updates the weights over the subset of misclassified training examples S_t^+ by applying the following rule :

$$\forall t, \mathbf{w}^{(t+1)} \leftarrow (1 - \lambda \eta_t) \mathbf{w}^{(t)} + \frac{\eta_t}{m} \sum_{(\mathbf{x}, y) \in S_t^+} y \mathbf{x}, \tag{3}$$

where, $\eta_t = \frac{1}{\lambda \times t}$ is the learning rate. In the following we will analysis the convergence property of the algorithm.

- 1. (1 pt) For an observation (\mathbf{x}, y) and a prediction function $h \in \mathcal{H}$, why the sign of the product $yh(\mathbf{x}) = y\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x} \rangle$ is an indicator of good/bad classification?
- 2. (1 pt) Which other learning algorithm updates the learning weights over misclassified training examples? In the case where $S_t^+ = (\mathbf{x}_t, y_t)$ is a singleton what is the update rule of this other learning algorithm and what is the difference with the one proposed in PEGASOS (Eq. 3)?
- 3. (1 pt) Draw the binary classification loss $\ell_b : (h(\mathbf{x}), y) \mapsto \mathbb{1}_{yh(\mathbf{x})<0}$, and the hing loss (Eq. 2) with respect to the product $yh(\mathbf{x})$, i.e. the loss on the *y*-axis and $yh(\mathbf{x})$ on the *x*-axis.
- 4. (1 pt) For a given example (\mathbf{x}, y) , what does $\frac{|h(\mathbf{x})|}{\|\mathbf{w}\|}$ represent?
- 5. (1 pt) Why the learning objective (Eq. 1) admits a single minimizer $\mathbf{w}^* \in \mathbb{R}^d$?
- 6. (1 pt) Explain why at the first iteration, S_1^+ is the whole training set; $S_1^+ = S$?
- 7. (1 pt) Show that the update (Eq. 3) follows the gradient descente rule:

$$\forall t, \mathbf{w}^{(t+1)} \leftarrow \mathbf{w}^{(t)} - \eta_t \nabla_t$$

where $\nabla_t = \nabla_t \hat{\mathcal{L}}_m(S, \mathbf{w}^{(t)})$ denotes the gradient of the learning objective (Eq. 1) at $\mathbf{w}^{(t)}$.

8. (2 pt) For two consecutive weights $\mathbf{w}^{(t)}$ and $\mathbf{w}^{(t+1)}$, show that

$$\|\mathbf{w}^{(t)} - \mathbf{w}^{\star}\|^{2} - \|\mathbf{w}^{(t+1)} - \mathbf{w}^{\star}\|^{2} = 2\eta_{t} \langle \mathbf{w}^{(t)} - \mathbf{w}^{\star}, \nabla_{t} \rangle - \eta_{t}^{2} \|\nabla_{t}\|^{2}$$

9. (2 pt) The objective learning function is λ -strongly convex (admitted), that is

$$\forall u \in \mathbb{R}^d, \langle \mathbf{w}^{(t)} - u, \nabla_t \rangle \ge \hat{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{w}^{(t)}) - \hat{\mathcal{L}}(u) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\mathbf{w}^{(t)} - u\|^2.$$

From this property and the previous question, deduce then

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} \left(\hat{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{w}^{(t)}) - \hat{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{w}^{\star}) \right) \le \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left(\frac{\|\mathbf{w}^{(t)} - \mathbf{w}^{\star}\|^{2} - \|\mathbf{w}^{(t+1)} - \mathbf{w}^{\star}\|^{2}}{2\eta_{t}} - \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\mathbf{w}^{(t)} - \mathbf{w}^{\star}\|^{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \eta_{t} \|\nabla_{t}\|^{2}$$

10. (2 pt) Show that for two consecutive iterations t and t + 1, we have

$$\sum_{j=t}^{t+1} \left(\frac{\|\mathbf{w}^{(j)} - \mathbf{w}^{\star}\|^{2} - \|\mathbf{w}^{(j+1)} - \mathbf{w}^{\star}\|^{2}}{2\eta_{j}} - \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\mathbf{w}^{(j)} - \mathbf{w}^{\star}\|^{2} \right) = \frac{\lambda(t-1)}{2} \|\mathbf{w}^{(t)} - \mathbf{w}^{\star}\|^{2} - \frac{\lambda(t+1)}{2} \|\mathbf{w}^{(t+2)} - \mathbf{w}^{\star}\|^{2}$$

11. (2 pt) From the two previous questions deduce then

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} \left(\hat{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{w}^{(t)}) - \hat{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{w}^{\star}) \right) \leq \frac{-\lambda T}{2} \|\mathbf{w}^{(T+1)} - \mathbf{w}^{\star}\|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \eta_{t} \|\nabla_{t}\|^{2}$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \eta_{t} \|\nabla_{t}\|^{2}$$

12. (2 pt) Suppose that the learning rate $\eta_t = \frac{1}{\lambda \times t}$, $\forall t$ and that the training data are contained in a ball of radius R; if at each iteration, we normalize the weights $\mathbf{w}^{(t)}$ such that $\|\mathbf{w}^{(t)}\| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$ show that

$$\|\nabla_t\| \le \sqrt{\lambda} + R$$

and deduce that for $T \geq 3$

$$\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\hat{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{w}^{(t)}) \leq \frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\hat{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{w}^{\star}) + \frac{c(1+\ln(T))}{2\lambda T},$$

where, $c = (\sqrt{\lambda} + R)^2$

13. (3 pt) As the learning objective is convex we have from the Jensen inequality that

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}\left(\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\mathbf{w}^{(t)}\right) \leq \frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\hat{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{w}^{(t)}).$$

Using the above inequality and question 12, prove that

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{w}^{\star}) \leq \hat{\mathcal{L}}(\bar{\mathbf{w}}) \leq \hat{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{w}^{\star}) + \frac{c(1+\ln(T))}{2\lambda T},$$

where $\bar{\mathbf{w}} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{w}^{(t)}$, and finally

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{w}^{(t)} = \mathbf{w}^{\star}.$$